

Milwaukee, WI 53202-5306

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| ' APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/685,157 | 10/14/2003 | William P. Hooper | 089339-0384 2003P09294US | 4809 |
| . 7 | 7590 11/29/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| James A. Wilke | | | WILLOUGHBY, TERRENCE RONIQUE | |
| FOLEY & LA | RDNER | | | |
| Suite 3800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 777 East Wisconsin Avenue | | | 2836 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| Notice of Aboutlement | 10/685,157 | HOOPER ET AL. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Terrence R. Willoughby | 2836 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of № period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on, but it does go to a proposed reply was received on | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | · |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| l Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- |
| (d) 🛛 No reply has been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | | the statutory period of three months |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | • |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for seeking court review |
| 7. 🛮 The reason(s) below: | | |
| During a telephone conversation with James Wilke has not been filed. | on October 20, 2006 confirmation | was made that an amendment |
| | SUPERVISORY F | SIRCUS PATENT EXAMINER CENTER 2500 |
| Potitions to ravive under 27 CER 1 127(a) or (b), or requests to withden | uu tha haldina af ahandannaat wadaa 27 (| OFD 4 404 about be assessed to \$15.44. |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20061121